

Draft Individual Review Form

Proposal number: **2001-I207-1**

Short Proposal Title: Environmental Stewardship Ed. Conferences and Tours

1a) Are the objectives and hypotheses clearly stated?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes

1b1) Does the conceptual model clearly explain the underlying basis for the proposed work?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes

1b2) Is the approach well designed and appropriate for meeting the objectives of the project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes

1c1) Has the applicant justified the selection of research, pilot or demonstration project, or a full-scale implementation project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes.

1c2) Is the project likely to generate information that can be used to inform future decision making?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. If the conference speakers and resource professionals indicated present well and then attendees are provided with resource packets, it is a reasonable to assume that future decisions will be affected.

2a) Are the monitoring and information assessment plans adequate to assess the outcome of the project? No. With only one apparent conference evaluation, it is difficult to know if the participants will continue with the same enthusiasm and commitment to change their behavior and farming practices that they have at the very end of the conference. A follow up survey would be most telling of the desired outcome.

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

2b) Are data collection, data management, data analysis, and reporting plans well-described, scientifically sound and adequate to meet the proposed objectives?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes.

3) Is the proposed work likely to be technically feasible?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes.

4) Is the proposed project team qualified to efficiently and effectively implement the proposed project?

Provide detailed comments in support of your conclusion [Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

Yes. The track record of the previous conferences and the length of employment and qualifications of the key staff are indicators of a very effective team.

Miscellaneous comments

[Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]

I'm sure there must be a wine growers association or something similar and I would hope that they would be able to financially support and encourage growers to participate in this worthwhile conference program. I did not find a strong component for identifying the participant list.

Overall Evaluation Summary Rating	Provide a brief explanation of your summary rating
<div><input type="checkbox"/> Excellent</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Very Good</div> <div><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Good</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Fair</div> <div><input type="checkbox"/> Poor</div>	<div>[Note: in the electronic version, this will be an expandable field]</div>

